

Response Form

Part I (Note)

This is a corporate response (representing the views of a group or an organisation) or
 private response (representing the views of an individual)

by

(name of person or organisation)

at

(telephone)

and

(email)

Part II

Specific Questions for Consultation

Q1: What are your views on the principles we have adopted in devising Hong Kong's R32 System? What other major factors do you think we should take into account?

- I/We agree with the proposed principles.
- I/We disagree with the proposed principles.

Other views: (please elaborate)

Q2: Do you have any views for Hong Kong to adopt a mixed system for passengers?

- I/We agree that Hong Kong should adopt a mixed system.
- I/We disagree that a mixed system to be adopted in Hong Kong.

Other views: (please elaborate)

Q3: What do you think of the proposed arrangement of implementing a declaration system with advance electronic submission of information for importing and exporting cargoes?

- I/We agree with the proposal.
- I/We disagree with the proposal.

Other views : (please elaborate)

Q4: Should FATF's recommended threshold of USD/EUR 15,000 (equivalent to around HK\$120,000) be adopted as the designated threshold for Hong Kong's R32 System? Or should a lower / higher threshold be adopted?

- I/We agree to set the threshold at HK\$120,000.
- I/We propose to set the threshold at HK\$ _____ .

(please elaborate on the justifications for your proposed threshold)

Q5: For declarations, should we follow the commonly adopted practice of requiring only those transporting CBNI's above the designated threshold to report? Or should all passengers/persons responsible for a cargo be required to declare, regardless of the amount of CBNI's they transport?

- Only those transporting CBNI's above the designated threshold should be required to declare.
- All should be required to declare, regardless of the amount of CBNI's they transport.

Other views: (please elaborate)

Q6: Do you think that Hong Kong's R32 System should or should not include specific items such as casino tokens and bearer share certificates?

- I/We think that no other items should be included.
- I/We think that the following items should be included.
(please elaborate and justify your views)

Q7: Do you think that Hong Kong should not regulate the posting of CBNIs via the mail system at this stage?

- I/We agree with the proposal.
- I/We disagree with the proposal.

Other views: (please elaborate)

Q8: Do you have any suggestions on how we could enhance the publicity for the implementation of the R32 System so that members of the public and relevant practitioners could be well informed?

Part III

Other comments and suggestions

Note:

The Government may wish, either in discussion with others or in any subsequent report, whether privately or publicly, to be able to refer to and attribute views submitted in this response. Any request to treat all or part of a response in confidence will be respected, but if no such request is made, it will be assumed that the response is not intended to be confidential.

第一次摺 First Fold Here

Establishment of a Reporting System
on the Physical Cross-Boundary Transportation
of Large Quantities of Currency
and Bearer Negotiable Instruments
Public Consultation

設立跨境運送大量
貨幣和不記名可轉讓票據
的申報及披露制度
公眾諮詢

第二次摺 Second Fold Here

郵費由持
牌人支付
POSTAGE
WILL BE
PAID BY
LICENSEE

如在本港投寄
毋須貼上郵票
NO POSTAGE
STAMP
NECESSARY IF
POSTED IN
HONG KONG

GPO.EC10

香港 金鐘
金鐘道 66 號
金鐘道政府合署
高座三十樓
保安局禁毒處

BUSINESS REPLY SERVICE LICENCE NO.
商業回郵牌號：7968

Narcotics Division, Security Bureau
30th Floor, High Block
Queensway Government Offices
66 Queensway, Hong Kong

請沿實線剪下 Please cut along solid line

請沿實線剪下 Please cut along solid line

請在此處封口 Please Fold and Seal Here

請在此處封口 Please Fold and Seal Here

請在此處封口 Please Fold and Seal Here